



Published in final edited form as:

J Pain. 2009 August ; 10(8): 777–791. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2009.06.001.

Understanding Fibromyalgia: Lessons from the Broader Pain Research Community

David A. Williams, Ph.D.¹ and Daniel J. Clauw, M.D.²

¹Professor of Anesthesiology, Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology Associate Director, Chronic Pain and Fatigue Research Center The University of Michigan

²Professor of Anesthesiology, Medicine and Psychiatry Associate Dean for Clinical and Translational Research Director, Chronic Pain and Fatigue Research Center The University of Michigan

Abstract

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a chronic pain condition marked by centrally-mediated augmentation of pain and sensory processes. Skepticism has marked the history of this condition, but more recent study has identified neurobiological underpinnings supporting many of the symptoms associated with this condition. Early research in FM had unprecedented latitude within the Rheumatology community to borrow heavily from theory and methods being applied in chronic pain research more generally. These insights facilitated rapid advances in FM research; not the least of which was the abandonment of a peripheral focus in favor of studying central mechanisms associated with central augmentation. Currently, rapid paced discovery is taking place in FM genetics, patient assessment, new therapeutic targets, and novel methods of treatment delivery. Such insights are not likely to be limited in application just to FM; but could have relevance to the broader field of pain research as well.

Perspective—This manuscript reviews the history of FM and its diagnosis, evidence supporting central augmentation of pain in FM, potential mechanisms of central augmentation, current approaches to integrated care of FM, and areas of active collaboration between FM research and other chronic pain conditions.

Keywords

Fibromyalgia; central sensitization; treatment; stress; sensory augmentation; non-pharmacological

OVERVIEW

Clinicians often see patients with pain and other somatic symptoms that defy explanation when based upon the degree of damage or inflammation noted in peripheral tissues. In fact, medically unexplainable symptoms are among the most common concerns for which individuals seek medical attention.⁸⁹ When a patient presents with pain, usually an evaluation is performed looking for the “cause” of the pain. If none is found, patients are often given a diagnostic label that merely connotes chronic pain in a region of the body, without an underlying mechanistic

© 2009 The American Pain Society. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Address all correspondence to: David A. Williams, Ph.D. Chronic Pain & Fatigue Research Center University of Michigan 24 Frank Lloyd Wright Drive, Lobby M Ann Arbor, MI 48106 Phone: 734 998-6961 FAX: 734 998-6900 email: daveawms@umich.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

cause (e.g., chronic low back pain, headache, temporomandibular disorder (TMD), etc.). In other cases, the diagnostic label alludes to putative underlying mechanisms that may be responsible for the individual's pain (e.g., “facet syndrome”).

Fibromyalgia (FM) is merely the current term given to individuals with chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain, for which no alternative cause can be identified. Gastroenterologists often see the same class of patients but focus on gastroenterological complaints, and use terms such as functional GI disorder, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), non-ulcer dyspepsia, or esophageal dysmotility to explain the symptoms.⁹⁹ Neurologists see similar individuals for their headaches and/or unexplained facial pain, urologists see individuals for unexplained pelvic pain and urinary symptoms (using labels such as interstitial cystitis, chronic prostatitis, vulvodynia, and vulvar vestibulitis), and dentists see similar individuals calling the condition Temporomandibular Disorder (TMD).

Until recently these unexplained pain syndromes perplexed researchers, clinicians, and patients and were thought to be completely separate clinical entities. Emerging evidence however now suggests the following:

- Often, individuals with one of these entities, (and their family members), have several of these conditions.^{10,79} Many terms have been used to describe these co-aggregating syndromes and symptoms, including functional somatic syndromes, somatization disorders, allied spectrum conditions, chronic multisymptom illnesses, medically unexplained symptoms, etc.^{16,51,79,154}
- Women are more likely to have these disorders than men, but the sex difference is much more apparent in clinical samples (especially tertiary care) than in population-based samples.^{1,47}
- Individuals with these conditions (e.g., FM, IBS, headache, TMD, etc.) display diffuse hyperalgesia (increased pain to normally painful stimuli) and/or allodynia (pain to normally non-painful stimuli).^{59,61,97,115,117} This abnormality across conditions suggests that these individuals have a fundamental problem with pain or sensory processing rather than an abnormality confined to a specific region of the body where pain is perceived to originate.
- Similar types of therapies are efficacious for all of these conditions, including both pharmacological (e.g. tricyclic compounds such as amitriptyline) and non-pharmacological treatments (e.g., exercise cognitive behavioral therapy). Conversely, individuals with these conditions typically do not respond to therapies that are effective when pain is due to damage or inflammation of peripheral tissues (e.g. NSAIDs, opioids, injections, surgical procedures).

Until perhaps a decade ago, these conditions were all on somewhat equal (and tenuous) scientific ground. But within a relatively short period of time, research methods in experimental pain testing, functional imaging, and genetics have led to tremendous advances in the understanding of several of these conditions, most notably FM, IBS, and TMD.

Chronic pain has been thought of as being a “disease” having common underlying central mechanisms that are operative in these “idiopathic” or “functional” pain syndromes regardless of whether the pain is present throughout the body (e.g. in FM) or localized to the low back, the bowel, or the bladder. Despite the term “central” being historically defined somewhat narrowly referring to damage within the nervous system, it is an appropriate term to describe conditions such as FM, IBS, TMD, vulvodynia, and many other entities needing to imply that the pathology leading to the experience of pain is coming from the CNS rather than inflammation or damage within the periphery.^{34,170}

The review regarding Fibromyalgia below focuses on our current understanding of this disorder as a prototypical “central pain syndrome” using the term as described above.

Fibromyalgia: Early Conceptualizations

During the late 19th and early 20th centuries, many clinicians were intrigued by the ability of anesthesia and narcotic analgesics to eliminate acute pain from injuries and medical procedures. Believing that the pathophysiology of pain was largely understood, pain that resisted these conventional means and/or exceeded observable tissue damage was considered to emanate from psychiatric illness.¹¹¹ Early conceptualizations of FM were not immune to this type of thinking.

Sir William Gowers coined the term ‘fibrositis’ in 1904 to describe the muscular pain commonly seen in clinics of his time. The term “fibrositis” suggested inflammation of the fibrous muscle tissue as being the cause of this condition. Other clinicians of the time were less certain about the pathophysiology of this condition and instead attributed the complaint to muscle tension (a functional problem) or to ‘psychogenic rheumatism’ (suggesting a psychiatric origin). The term “Fibromyalgia” was not applied to this clinical presentation until the mid-1970’s.¹³⁸ The change in nomenclature from “fibrositis” to “fibromyalgia” reflected the increasing lack of evidence for any inflammation in the connective tissues of individuals presenting with this condition. Thus within the fibrous tissues there was *-algia* (i.e. pain) but no *-itis* (i.e. inflammation). Researchers needed a means of quantifying the pain experience in these patients and as such chose to quantify tender points (regions of extreme tenderness). With this choice to include tender points, FM became a condition of both chronic pain and tenderness. FM was associated with disturbances in deep and restorative sleep.¹¹³ Yunus and others later reported on the major clinical manifestations of patients with FM seen in rheumatology clinics¹⁷⁴ and in 1990 the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) established its research criteria characterizing FM as a condition of both pain and tenderness,¹⁶⁶

While the ACR criteria has succeeded in promoting research on groups of individuals possessing common qualifying criteria, these criteria may not be sufficiently broad as to capture the totality of the illnesses as experienced by patients. The use of these criteria in clinical settings to diagnose individuals, an unintended use of the criteria, has led to a number of misconceptions regarding FM (e.g., FM being solely a chronic pain condition, FM being a discrete illness of the peripheral muscle, and FM always being associated with psychiatric illness).

The inclusion of tender points in the ACR criteria suggested that there was some unique significance to the locations of tender points. In fact, the term “control points” was coined to describe areas of the body that should not be tender in FM. Individuals were assumed to have a psychological cause for their pain if they were tender in control regions. Empirical work has since found that the tenderness in FM extends throughout the entire body - there are no control points. The forehead and thumbnail (i.e. former control regions) are just as tender as active tender points for individuals with FM as well as for healthy controls.^{38,67,125}

The tender point requirement in the ACR criteria also misrepresents the nature of the tenderness in this condition (i.e., local rather than widespread), and strongly influences the demographic and psychological characteristics of FM. For example, women are only 1.5 times more likely than men to experience Chronic Wide-spread Pain (CWP; i.e. pain in all four quadrants of the body but not assessed by tender-points), but are 10 times more likely than men to have 11 or more tender points.¹⁶⁵ Thus the addition of tender points to a diagnosis of CWP is largely responsible for women being 10 times more likely to meet ACR criteria for FM than men.

Another unintended consequence of requiring both CWP and at least 11 tender points for the diagnosis of FM is that individuals with FM are likely to be distressed. The distress in this case appears to be associated with the requirement of 11 tender points rather than CWP. Population-based studies find that CWP is only modestly associated with distress; whereas tender points show a much stronger association.¹⁶⁴ Requiring tender points selects for women (who are generally individuals who are more commonly seen in tertiary care centers (where many of the early FM studies were conducted)).¹

In summary, although many clinicians uniquely associate FM with women who display high levels of distress, much of this is an artifact reflecting: 1) the ACR criteria that require 11/18 tender points, and 2) the fact that most studies of FM have originated from clinical samples in tertiary care centers, where there are higher rates of psychiatric co-morbidities than in community-based samples. Thus, what was known about FM as recently as 1990 was largely predicated upon several tenuous assumptions about the nature of the condition. In fact, major advances have only occurred in understanding FM once investigators concluded that FM was not a condition caused by peripheral damage or inflammation, and began to explore central neural mechanisms of FM.

Fibromyalgia: A Condition of Central Pain and Sensory Augmentation

Osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis are examples of conditions characterized by inflammation or peripheral mechanical damage, with the pain of these conditions being thought to arise predominantly via peripheral mechanisms. In contrast, early studies in FM established that there was no peripheral damage or inflammation within the muscles or tissues, and thus researchers began to search for alternative explanations for the pain of this condition. Because tenderness throughout the body was a defining feature of the illness, a number of pathophysiological processes were explored that could account for diffuse pain in the absence of peripheral damage. Investigations have focused upon central pain processing systems, hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axes, and the autonomic nervous system. To date, the accumulated evidence supports some involvement of all of these systems. The most fruitful area of research in FM however has been the work exploring the underlying reason(s) for the allodynia (pain from normally non-noxious stimuli) and hyperalgesia (augmented response to painful stimuli) seen in this and related “central” pain conditions.

The most consistent finding in FM research is increased tenderness to pressure (i.e. mechanical hyperalgesia or mechanical allodynia).^{67,123} While skeptics have questioned the veracity of reports of increased tenderness due to a reliance on patient self-report, more sophisticated pain testing paradigms (such as the multiple random staircase) help to rule out potential biases associated with self-report.^{123,122,124} The current data implicates central mechanisms that augment pain (e.g., “wind-up”), or attenuate the activity in descending antinociceptive pathways (e.g. DNIC).^{90,96,141} Augmented response to evoked painful stimuli has recently been corroborated by functional brain imaging techniques that allow the visualization of structures purportedly involved in pain processing.^{39,65}

In addition to sensitivity to pressure stimuli, individuals with FM also appear to have hyperalgesia to stimuli applied to the skin and display a decreased threshold to heat^{54,58,90,123}, cold^{91,90}, and electrical stimuli.¹³ Decreased sensory threshold may not be limited to cutaneous and muscular mechanisms in FM. Decreased nociceptive tones in people with FM suggesting these individuals may have a generalized decrease in nociceptive threshold.^{57,104} A recent study by Geisser and colleagues used a random staircase paradigm to test both the auditory threshold and pressure threshold in FM.⁵⁵ This study found that displayed low thresholds to both types sensory stimuli, and the shared variance between the two thresholds was sufficiently high as to suggest a common underlying mechanism. The notion that FM might represent generalized neurobiological amplification of sensory stimuli has some support from

functional imaging studies suggesting that the insula is the most consistently hyperactive neurocortical region of the pain matrix. This region has been noted to play a critical role in sensory integration, with the posterior insula serving a purer sensory role, and the anterior insula being associated with the emotional processing of sensations.^{40,41,146}

Corroborative Neuroimaging of Central Pain Processing in FM

The primary modes of functional imaging that have been used in FM include functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT), and Positron Emission Tomography (PET). A summary of findings using each of these modalities in FM follows.

Single-Photon-Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) was the first functional neuroimaging technique to be used in fibromyalgia. SPECT imaging involves the introduction of radioactive compounds into the participant's blood stream, which then decay over time giving a window for the assessment of neural activity. The first study using SPECT imaging in FM compared 10 FM patients with 7 age- and education-matched healthy controls. This study found decreased blood flow in both the caudate and the thalamus of FM patients,¹¹⁶ findings that were largely replicated in a second study.⁹³ A third SPECT trial used a more sensitive radioligand (99mTc-ECD) in FM patients and pain-free controls.^{68,69,71,70} Guedj and colleagues found hyperperfusion of the radioligand within the somatosensory cortex for FM and hypoperfusion in the anterior and posterior cingulate, the amygdala, medial frontal and parahippocampal gyrus, and the cerebellum. These studies have been interpreted as providing evidence for enhanced sensory processing and reduced attentional and affect regulation in FM.

One longitudinal treatment trial used SPECT imaging to assess changes in rCBF following administration of amitriptyline in 14 FM patients.³ After three months of treatment with amitriptyline, increases in rCBF in the bilateral thalamus and the basal ganglia were observed. Since the same two regions had been implicated previously, these data suggest that amitriptyline may normalize the altered blood flow thereby reducing pain symptoms.

Functional MRI (fMRI)—fMRI is a non-invasive brain imaging technique that relies on changes in the relative concentration of oxygenated to deoxygenated hemoglobin within the brain in response to a stimulus (e.g. evoked pain during scanning). The first study to use fMRI in individuals with FM was performed by Gracely and colleagues. In this study 16 FM patients and 16 matched controls were exposed to painful pressures during fMRI scanning.⁶⁵ During the application of stimuli considered by participants to be painful, both patients and controls demonstrated increased neural activations in the primary and secondary somatosensory cortex, the insula, and the anterior cingulate. These activations were all in cortical regions commonly observed in fMRI studies to be associated with the processing of painful stimuli. While the regions of activation were similar for the patients and controls, the groups differed with regard to the amount of stimuli needed to activate this pain matrix. For FM, this matrix was activated by less than half of the stimulus needed for healthy controls. These findings were consistent with a “left-shift” in the stimulus-response function which is characteristic of centrally-mediated hyperalgesia and reduced noxious threshold to sensory stimuli. Similar findings have been reported in FM using heat stimulation.³⁹

PET Imaging—PET has been used in several FM studies. In the first such study, Yunus and colleagues showed no differences in regional cerebral blood flow between FM and controls.¹⁷⁶ More recently, Wood and colleagues used PET to show that attenuated dopaminergic activity may be playing a role in pain transmission in FM^{167,168} a deficit in part manifest by

deficiencies in stress-induced analgesia in FM. Harris and colleagues also recently used PET to demonstrate decreased *mu* opioid receptor availability in FM.⁷⁶

In summary, there is substantial evidence from neuroimaging studies suggesting that central factors are important in the processing of pain in people with FM. In addition, much of the neuroimaging work in FM is highly consistent with the work being conducted in pain more generally.^{7,145} These findings in aggregate suggest that individuals with FM have a narrow range of tolerance for pain (and perhaps other sensory stimuli) before it becomes noxious. Potential causes of this central augmentation of pain and sensory processing in FM will be explored next.

Other Neurobiological, Behavioral, Psychological, and Cognitive Factors Operative in FM

Just as in most other diseases, the underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms in FM involve interactions between genetic and environmental factors that then initiate a cascade of physiological, psychological, behavioral and cognitive factors that interact to manifest in symptoms and functional decline. In addition to the left-shift in stimulus response function there are many other pathophysiological processes that have been extensively studied, leading to a reasonably good understanding of the biopsychosocial underpinnings of FM. These include: 1) familial and genetic predisposition, 2) environmental “stressors” as triggers, 3) HPA axis and autonomic nervous system dysfunction, 4) functional abnormalities in pain and sensory processing, and 5) cognitive, behavioral, and psychological factors.

Familial and Genetic Factors in FM

There appears to be a strong familial component to the development of FM. First degree relatives of individuals with FM display an eight-fold greater risk of developing FM than those in the general population.¹⁰ Family members of individuals with FM are more tender than are the family members of controls, and family members of individuals with FM are much more likely to have other disorders related to FM such as IBS, TMD, headaches, and other regional pain syndromes.^{10,28,85,86} This familial and personal co-aggregation of conditions was originally termed *affective spectrum disorder*,⁸⁰ and more recently, *central sensitivity syndromes* and chronic multisymptom illnesses (CMI).^{51,175}

Recent studies have begun to identify specific genetic polymorphisms that are associated with a higher risk of developing FM. To date, the serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptor polymorphism T/T phenotype, serotonin transporter, dopamine 4 receptor, and COMT (catecholamine *o*-methyl transferase) polymorphisms are each seen in higher frequency in FM.^{22,29,27,120} All of the polymorphisms identified to date involve the metabolism or transport of monoamines, compounds that play a critical role in the human stress response, heightened pain sensitivity, and affective vulnerability.^{45, 46}

Environmental Stressors and FM

There appear to be a number of biological “stressors” that are capable of either “triggering” or exacerbating FM and related conditions. Physical trauma for example has been associated with the onset of FM especially in cases involving the axial skeleton and trunk.³⁰ Psychological and emotional stress, often of an interpersonal or personally relevant nature has been associated with the onset and maintenance of FM.⁷³ Other stressors include certain infections (e.g. Epstein-Barr virus, parvovirus, and Lyme disease)³⁶ hormonal alterations (e.g. hypothyroidism) and specific types of catastrophic events where the patient is the victim of the actions of others (e.g. war and auto accidents but not natural disasters).³⁶ In genetically vulnerable individuals however, single stressors or stressors in combination at a time of vulnerability may trigger the onset of FM. For others, the stressor may be a lifelong history of pain and other sensory symptoms (e.g. headaches, irritable bowel and bladder, regional

musculoskeletal pain, etc.) that eventually evolve into the more wholly systemic disorder characterized by FM.

HPA Axis and Autonomic Nervous System (ANS) Abnormalities

Due to the fact that disparate “stressors” can trigger the development of FM in genetically susceptible individuals, the human stress response has been closely examined for a causative role in FM. Recent research suggests that although this system in humans has been highly adaptive throughout history, the stress response may be inappropriately triggered in some individuals by a wide assortment of everyday occurrences (e.g. non-life threatening events). Frequent activation of a physiologic response more appropriate for threats to survival get experienced as being intolerable and can be pathogenic to the body.

The human stress systems have been studied extensively in FM and have generally demonstrated altered functioning of the HPA axis and sympathetic nervous system.^{4,37,42,44,98,127} The type of alteration however has not been consistent. Studies have demonstrated both hypo- and hyperactivity of both the HPA axis and sympathetic nervous system in FM and the degree of abnormality is often small or occurs in a very small percentage of patients with substantial overlap between patients and controls.

Early on, abnormalities in these axes were thought to cause the pain and other symptoms of FM. Data now suggest the opposite. Two studies examining HPA function in FM have shown that salivary cortisol levels co-varied with clinical pain levels, and that CSF levels of CRH were more closely related to individuals' clinical pain level or a history of early life trauma than to status of being someone with FM or a control.^{106,107} Most previous studies of HPA and autonomic function in FM failed to control for pain levels, previous history of trauma, Post-Traumatic Stress disorder (PTSD) or other co-morbid disorders that could affect HPA or autonomic dysfunction; thus it is not surprising that a subset of patients with FM would demonstrate these HPA abnormalities.

Heart rate variability has been evaluated in patients with FM as a surrogate measure of autonomic function. Typically individuals with FM demonstrate reduced heart-rate variability in response to a biological or environmental demand when compared to healthy controls.^{37,98,142} Several studies now suggest that whereas hypo-reactive heart rate variability may not cause the pain of FM, a history of aberrations in heart rate variability may predispose an individual to develop FM symptoms,^{63,100,101} possibly identifying patients at risk. A recent study also showed that heart rate variability was normalized following exercise therapy in FM, suggesting that dysregulated heart rate may be an epiphenomenon due in part to deconditioning.

Functional Abnormalities in Pain and Sensory Processing in FM

Most investigators in FM agree that there are probably multiple reasons for the augmented pain and sensory processing in this condition and for each differing mechanism, different root causes as well. Two mechanisms have very strong support: 1) a lack of descending analgesic activity, and 2) central sensitization.

Lack of Diffuse Noxious Inhibitory Control (DNIC)—In healthy humans and laboratory animals, application of an intense painful stimulus for 2 to 5 minutes produces generalized whole-body analgesia. This analgesic effect, termed “diffuse noxious inhibitory controls” (DNIC), has been consistently observed to be attenuated or absent in groups of FM patients as compared to healthy controls.^{84,90,94,96} The DNIC response in humans is believed to be partly mediated by descending opioidergic pathways and in part by descending serotonergic-noradrenergic pathways.^{83,92,95} In fibromyalgia, the accumulating data suggests that opioidergic activity is normal or even increased, in that concentrations of cerebrospinal

fluid (CSF) enkephalins are roughly twice as high in FM and idiopathic low back pain patients as compared to healthy controls.¹⁵ Moreover, PET data show that baseline mu-opioid receptor binding is decreased in multiple pain processing regions in the brains of FM patients, consistent (but not pathognomonic) with the hypothesis that there is increased release of endogenous mu-opioid ligands in FM leading to high baseline occupancy of the receptors.⁷⁶

The biochemical and imaging findings supporting increased (or intact) activity of endogenous opioidergic systems in FM are consistent with the anecdotal clinical experience that opioids are generally ineffective analgesics in patients with FM. In contrast, studies have shown the opposite for serotonergic and noradrenergic activity in FM. The principal metabolite of norepinephrine, 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenethylene (MPHG), is lower in the CSF of FM patients;¹³¹ similarly, patients with FM have been shown to have reduced serum levels of serotonin and its precursor, L-tryptophan, along with reduced levels of the principal serotonin metabolite, 5-HIAA, in CSF.^{131,177} Further evidence supporting deficiencies in this mechanism comes from treatment studies, where nearly any type of compound that simultaneously raises both serotonin and norepinephrine (tricyclics, duloxetine, milnacipran, tramadol) has been shown to be efficacious in treating FM and related conditions.^{8,9,19,56}

These studies in aggregate suggest that for individuals with FM who demonstrate a lack of DNIC, the abnormality most likely rests with diminished serotonin and NE rather than a deficit in endogenous opioid activity. Pharmacological agents that enhance serotonin and NE likely bolster DNIC in these individuals thus reinstating an endogenous pain inhibitory mechanism that is ineffective in some individuals with FM.

Central Sensitization—The terms “central augmentation” or “central pain threshold” are different than the term “central sensitization”. Although these terms have sometimes been inappropriately used synonymously, “central sensitization” refers to a distinct spinal mechanism wherein an initial nociceptive focus can lead to regional pain amplification.^{129, 163} Demonstrating that the tenderness or hyperalgesia occurs far away from the area of pain, “central augmentation” or “central pain” are likely to be more appropriate semantic terms for what is seen in FM. Here we will explore potential mechanisms that might contribute to excitatory influences related to augmented pain experiences in FM.

Evoked experimental pain testing studies have suggested that some individuals with FM may have evidence of wind-up, a phenomena associated with temporal summation of c-fiber nociception resulting in heightened pain and indicative of central sensitization.^{126,140} In animal models, this finding is associated with excitatory amino acid and Substance P hyperactivity.^{169,171,173} Four independent studies have shown that patients with FM have approximately threefold higher concentrations of Substance P in cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), when compared with normal controls.^{23,133,151,153} Other chronic pain syndromes, such as osteoarthritis of the hip and chronic low back pain, are also associated with elevated Substance P levels.¹³⁷ Interestingly, once elevated, Substance P levels do not appear to change dramatically, and do not rise in response to acute painful stimuli.¹³⁷ Thus, high Substance P appears to be a biological marker for the presence of chronic pain in FM and perhaps other conditions as well.

Another important neurotransmitter in pain processing, with likely importance to FM, is glutamate. Glutamate (Glu) is a major excitatory neurotransmitter within the central nervous system, and cerebrospinal fluid levels of glutamate are twice as high in individuals with FM than in controls.¹³⁵ Not only are these levels elevated, but a recent study using proton spectroscopy demonstrated that the glutamate levels in the insula of individuals with FM decrease in response to reductions in both clinical (i.e. spontaneously reported) and experimental (i.e. evoked) pain when FM patients are treated with acupuncture.⁷⁵

Psychological/Cognitive/Behavioral Factors

Psychological factors affecting pain can be divided into two types: (1) psychiatric disorders, and (2) psychosocial influences. Psychiatric disorders such as major depression, anxiety disorders, and personality disorders are diagnosable concerns that can coexist with and negatively impact pain. For example, depression has been shown to co-occur with pain 52% of the time in pain clinics, 27% of the time in primary care clinics, and 18% of the time in population based studies.¹⁴ Epidemiological studies, twin and case control studies show similar findings.^{17,87} While chronic pain and psychiatric conditions often co-occur; they should not be confused with one another or viewed as being the same condition. Treatment of coexisting psychiatric disorders in a patient with chronic pain is highly appropriate; but is not likely to fully address the chronic pain problem. Both conditions need to be addressed, often with different interventions. Neuroimaging studies suggest that augmented pain perception (e.g. as seen in FM) occurs whether co-morbid depression is present or not.⁶⁰ This type of evidence helps to refute earlier claims that “unexplainable pain” is simply a manifestation of depression.

5

Quite independent of physiological factors, cognitive beliefs about pain have been shown to account for greater than 40% of the variance in physical functional status and around 30% of the variance in affective symptoms for patients with chronic pain.¹⁵⁰ Awareness of individuals' beliefs about pain can importantly influence adherence to treatment, treatment responsiveness, and long term outcomes to both physical and psychologically oriented treatments.^{82,143,159,160}

Two cognitive factors that have received a great deal of research attention are locus of pain control and catastrophizing. Beliefs in personal control are thought to evolve from multiple learning experiences where personal effort is perceived to influence outcomes. The perception of having personal control has been labeled an “internal” locus of control. Alternatively, an “external” locus of control is learned when outcomes are perceived as occurring outside of personal control. Locus of control for pain refers to patients' perceptions about their personal ability to control pain. In studies of patients with FM, internal locus of control has been associated with better affect, reduced symptom severity, and less disability in function,^{103,121} Unfortunately, most individuals with FM, have a more external orientation in their locus of control even in comparison with other chronic pain conditions.^{24,72,121}

Pain catastrophizing, or responses to pain that characterize it as being awful, horrible, and unbearable, is increasingly recognized as an extremely important contributor to the experience of pain. Studies have found catastrophizing to be associated with pain and pain-related disability independent of the influence of depression.^{54,53,52,82,88,144} Burton and colleagues observed that catastrophizing alone accounted for 47% of the variance in predicting the development of chronic pain from an episode of acute pain.²⁵ Although the precise mechanisms by which catastrophizing influences the experience of pain are not known, it is thought that this cognitive style influences the attentional focus on painful events. Persons who catastrophize have more difficulty shifting their focus of attention away from painful or threatening stimuli and appraise stimuli as being more threatening or harmful generally. In as much as cognitions modulate cortical pain processing, both external locus of control and catastrophizing are likely to contribute to an augmentation of pain. Corroborative evidence from fMRI studies of catastrophizing in FM support this observation.⁶⁶

Emotional stress/distress also influences pain modulation; but like stressors in general, emotional influences on FM pain processing are quite varied. Several studies however suggest that personally relevant stressors play a more salient role in symptom exacerbation in FM than do more global stressors. For example, at the time of the 9/11 terrorist attacks, two studies examining daily diary monitoring in FM, were being conducted in both New York and

Washington, DC.^{128,161} In both studies, symptoms on the days immediately before, during, and following the terrorists' attacks were compared. Both studies failed to find any relationship between the terrorists' attacks and symptom worsening; rather, symptoms were more strongly related to personal activities and personally relevant stressors.

In summary, it is likely that there are many interrelated factors that combine to produce the symptoms of FM. It is likely however that the balance of factors (e.g. psychological, DNIC, excitatory influences) tend to cluster into groups of individuals having a common presentation of FM.

One subtyping study in FM focused upon varying responses to a coping instrument (e.g. the MPI¹⁴⁸, this study suggested that there were three groupings of individuals with FM each requiring treatments to be tailored to their respective coping responses for FM. A second subtyping study based sub-types upon the relative predominance of the three dimensions of pain¹⁴⁵ as well as functional response (i.e. (a) sensory dimensions (evoked measures of tenderness, self-reported clinical pain), (b) affect (trait depression and anxiety), (c) cognitions about pain (catastrophizing and control over pain), and (d) functional status. In the latter study, cluster analytic methods revealed that the largest group of patients displayed moderate influences from each domain. A second group displayed strong influences from affective and cognitive dimensions; while the third group, being the most tender, displayed relatively little affective influence but possessed positive cognitive influences (i.e. greater perceived control over pain).⁶² Although different in their approaches, both of these subtyping studies underscore the importance of treating FM in a tailored manner and from multiple perspectives.

Fibromyalgia: Approaches to Treatment

Evidence-based treatment of FM advocates a multi-faceted program emphasizing education, certain medications, exercise, and cognitive therapy.⁶⁴ Once a physician rules out other potential disorders, an important and at times controversial step in the management of fibromyalgia is asserting the diagnosis. Despite some assumptions that being "labeled" with fibromyalgia may adversely affect patients, a study by White and colleagues indicated that patients had significant improvement in health satisfaction and symptoms after being "labeled".¹⁵⁵ Regardless of whether a diagnosis is rendered, patients presenting with CWP should receive education about their condition and the role that they can play in its management. For some individuals with FM this can be an effective and sufficient intervention.⁶⁴

Pharmacological Approaches to FM Management

The most frequently studied pharmacologic therapy for FM has been low doses of tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) compounds. Most TCAs increase the concentrations of serotonin and/or norepinephrine (noradrenaline) by directly blocking their respective reuptake. The effectiveness of TCAs, particularly amitriptyline and cyclobenzaprine, in treating the symptoms of pain, poor sleep, and fatigue associated with FM is supported by several randomized, controlled trials.^{8,119} Tolerability is a problem but can be improved by beginning at very low doses giving the dose a few hours before bedtime, and very slowly escalating the dose.

Because of a better side effect profile, newer antidepressants, i.e. selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), are frequently used in FM. The SSRIs fluoxetine, citalopram, and paroxetine have each been evaluated in randomized, placebo controlled trials.^{6,31,32,35,64,118} In general, the results of studies of SSRIs in fibromyalgia have paralleled the experience in other pain conditions. The newer "highly selective" serotonin reuptake inhibitors, e.g. citalopram, seem to be less efficacious than the older SSRIs, which have some noradrenergic activity at higher doses.⁵⁰

Dual receptor inhibitors such as serotonin-NE and NE-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs and NSRIs) may be of more benefit than pure serotonergic drugs.⁵⁰ These drugs are pharmacologically similar to some TCAs in their ability to inhibit the reuptake of both serotonin and NE, but differ from TCAs in being generally devoid of significant activity at other receptor systems. This selectivity results in diminished side effects and enhanced tolerability. The first available SNRI, venlafaxine, has data to support its use in the management of neuropathic pain, and retrospective trial data demonstrate that this compound is also effective in the prophylaxis of migraine and tension headaches.² Two studies in FM have had conflicting results, with the one using a higher dose showing efficacy.

Two new SNRIs, milnacipran and duloxetine, have undergone recent multicenter trials and were shown to be effective in a number of outcome variables, and have both recently been approved by the U.S. FM.^{9,152} In the study evaluating milnacipran, statistically significant differences were noted in overall improvement, physical functioning, level of fatigue and degree of reported physical impairment. In the trial of duloxetine compared to placebo, participants treated with duloxetine had decreased self-reported pain and stiffness, and a reduced number of tender points. In both studies, benefits were shown to be independent of the drug effect on mood, thus suggesting that the analgesic and other positive effects of this class of drugs in FM is not simply due to their antidepressant effects. The most common side effects of this class of drugs include nausea (which can be reduced by taking the drug with food and/or slowly escalating the dose) and palpitations.

Alpha-2-delta ligands such as gabapentin and pregabalin also have been shown to be effective in the treatment of many different types of pain conditions, including fibromyalgia.¹⁵⁶ Gabapentin was shown in several studies to be efficacious in FM, and pregabalin has demonstrated efficacy in three published trials, and was approved for use in FM by the United States Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA) in 2007.^{12; 11; 43; 109} These compounds may be better tolerated if a higher proportion of the dose (1200 – 2400mg/day of gabapentin or 300 – 450mg/day of pregabalin) is given at bedtime. The most common side effects of this class of drugs are lightheadedness, dizziness, edema, and weight gain.

Sedative-hypnotic compounds are widely used by fibromyalgia patients. A handful of studies have been published on the use of certain non-benzodiazepine hypnotics in FM, such as zopiclone and zolpidem. Reports suggest that these agents can improve the sleep and, perhaps, fatigue of FM, though they had no significant effects upon pain. On the other hand, gamma-hydroxybutyrate (also known as sodium oxabate), a precursor of GABA with powerful sedative properties, was recently shown to be useful in improving fatigue, pain, and sleep architecture in patients with FM.¹³⁶ Note, however, that this agent is a scheduled substance due to its abuse potential.

Pramipexole is a dopamine agonist indicated for Parkinson's disease that has shown utility in the treatment of periodic leg movement disorder.¹⁸ Recent studies suggest that this compound may improve both pain and sleep in FM.⁷⁸

Tizanidine is a centrally acting alpha-2 adrenergic agonist approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of muscle spasticity associated with multiple sclerosis and stroke. Literature suggests that this agent is a useful adjunct in treating several chronic pain conditions, including chronic daily headaches and low back pain. A recent trial improvements in several parameters in FM, including sleep, pain, and measures of quality of life.¹³² Of particular interest was the demonstration that treatment with tizanidine resulted in a reduction in substance P levels within the CSF of patients with Fibromyalgia.¹³²

There have been no adequate, randomized controlled clinical trials of opiates in FM, and many in the field (including the authors) have not found this class of compounds to be effective in

anecdotal clinical experience. Tramadol is a compound that has some opioid activity (weak mu agonist activity) combined with serotonin/NE reuptake inhibition. This compound does appear to be somewhat efficacious in the management of FM, as both an isolated compound and as fixed-dose combination with acetaminophen.¹⁸

A large number of fibromyalgia patients use non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and acetaminophen. Although numerous studies have failed to confirm their effectiveness as analgesics in FM, there is limited evidence that patients may experience enhanced analgesia when treated with combinations of NSAIDs and other agents. This phenomenon may be a result of concurrent “peripheral” pain (i.e. due to damage or inflammation of tissues, e.g. osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) conditions that may be present, and/or that these co-morbid peripheral pain generators might lead to worsening of “central” pain.

Non-pharmacologic Management of FM

The two best-studied non-pharmacological therapies for FM are cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and exercise. Both of these therapies are efficacious in the management of FM⁶⁴ and are well supported by systematic reviews. Both of these treatments can lead to sustained (e.g. greater than one year) improvements, and can be quite effective in adherent individuals.

Aerobic exercise has been demonstrated to be effective at improving outcomes for a wide range of chronic medical conditions.^{21,81,102,105,112,139} Recent systematic reviews of the exercise literature suggest that in FM, aerobic exercise programs improve overall symptoms, as well as pain.²⁶ In an especially well conducted study involving a 20-week supervised cardiovascular fitness training program, 18 FM patients yielded statistically significant improvement in cardiovascular fitness scores, and clinically and statistically significant improvements in pain threshold scores.²⁰ A second study demonstrated a shortterm benefit of aerobic exercise in FM patients, when compared to a group that received “stress management.”¹⁵⁷ The reason for the apparent beneficial effect of exercise on symptoms in these conditions is likely multifactorial. Aerobic exercise may influence endogenous analgesic systems⁸¹ while also increasing a sense of well-being and control.^{74,102,139} To reduce the pain associated with exercise, non-impact exercises such as walking, swimming, or stationary cycling are often recommended. Investigators have found a gradual progression in exercise intensity and a focus on adherence to a lifelong program to be most effective.^{33,157}

Behavioral therapy (BT) and Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) for pain is designed to address the various aspects of the biopsychosocial model. Reviews of the BT/CBT literature suggest that these interventions as a class are quite efficacious for pain management.^{77,114} In specific application to FM, there are at least 17 well conducted studies of CBT supporting its use.¹⁵⁸ While the application of CBT has long been associated with outcomes of pain reduction, for FM, CBT may have its greatest influence on improving physical functional status, an outcome thought to be more challenging than pain relief.^{130,162}

Advances in Patient Assessment

FM has long been thought of as being a chronic pain condition. Patients with FM however report that it has other symptoms as well that profoundly impact their quality of life.^{108,110} The Outcomes Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) organization has worked to identify the domains of relevance that should be assessed and reported upon in the context of clinical trials involving rheumatological conditions.¹⁴⁷ The Fibromyalgia Task Force within OMERACT has conducted Delphi studies to obtain consensus from both clinicians and patients regarding the domains that hold the most relevance for FM.^{108,110} These studies suggest that in addition to pain, the assessment of FM should include the measurement of patient global impression of well being, fatigue, functional status, sleep, mood, tenderness/stiffness, and

problems with concentration/memory (i.e. dyscognition). This initiative is also focused upon validating assessment instruments that capture domains of relevance that exceed a specific focus on pain. The work of the FM OMERACT group is highly consistent with the ongoing work of several other large organizations such as the Initiative on Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials (IMMPACT) focused upon identifying the domains that should be assessed in research involving chronic pain generally,^{48,149} and the World Health Organization, International Classification of Functioning Disability and Health (ICF) initiative (WHO-ICF),¹⁷² an initiative that seeks to developed a domain categorization coding system that identifies the relevant domains of functional status for medical illnesses in general. Again, the remarkable overlap in the findings and conclusions of these three independent groups suggest that at least some conditions involving chronic pain like FM, require broader assessment of patient-relevant domains if patient well-being and health is to be adequately evaluated. Broader assessment of domains beyond pain appears to have relevance not only in application with outcomes assessment but may also have utility in the context of phenotyping as well.

Exporting Mechanistic and Treatment Models to other Conditions

As mentioned, progress in FM research was sluggish until the *-itis* and even the *fibro-* (focus on the peripheral muscle) was abandoned and discovery moved to central pain and sensory processing models. Similar paradigm shifts have recently occurred in other related conditions. IBS was previously termed “spastic colitis” until the recognition that there was little *-itis* and motility changes were not the major pathological feature. Temporomandibular disorder was previously termed temporomandibular joint disorder until it was recognized that the problem was not largely within the joint.

The most recent disorders undergoing a paradigm shift in this regard are interstitial cystitis (IC) and chronic prostatitis (CP). For many years, treatments for these conditions focused upon the end organ (e.g. surgery, infection control, and reduction of inflammation). Like many other chronic pain conditions advances in these fields were progressing more slowly than desired. In 2007 the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) chose to establish a new network of institutions with expertise in FM, IBS, and CFS to help retool discovery in IC/PC by focusing more centrally. To do so required expertise from fields who had already made this paradigm shift successfully and could rapidly infuse methods of discovery to these conditions. To date, efforts along these lines have been successful.

Fibromyalgia: A Model for Studying a “Central Pain” Syndrome – Either Alone or in Combination with other Mechanistic Types of Pain

Although there are clearly some individuals who have only “central” pain as seen in fibromyalgia, in other pain syndromes there may be combinations of central, neuropathic, and peripheral pain. Focused investigations of the mechanisms underlying the augmented pain and sensory processing in FM may help shed light on the mechanisms underlying at least a subset of individuals in these other conditions or in chronic pain more generally. Several areas in which the research field of FM is already contributing to significant advances affecting other pain conditions are in the areas of patient characterization and outcomes assessment, partnership models linking researchers and patient advocacy groups, and approaches to exporting working models of central pain and sensory augmentation to other fields interested in applying this model for discovery in new conditions. Although relatively young compared to the study of other painful conditions, research in FM is advancing rapidly with discoveries and new methodologies that can help shape our understanding of related pain conditions (e.g. IBS, TMD) as well as painful conditions more generally.

Acknowledgements

Supported in part by grant numbers R01-AR050044 (NIAMS/NIH), AR053207 (NIAMS/NIH), U01AR55069 (NIAMS/NIH) and DAMD 17-00-2-0018 (Department of Defense)

Reference List

1. Aaron LA, Bradley LA, Alarcon GS, Alexander RW, Triana-Alexander M, Martin MY, Alberts KR. Psychiatric diagnoses in patients with fibromyalgia are related to health care-seeking behavior rather than to illness. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39(3):436–445. [PubMed: 8607892]
2. Adelman LC, Adelman JU, Von Seggern R, Mannix LK. Venlafaxine extended release (XR) for the prophylaxis of migraine and tension-type headache: A retrospective study in a clinical setting. *Headache* 2000;40(7):572–580. [PubMed: 10940096]
3. Adiguzel O, Kaptanoglu E, Turgut B, Nacitarhan V. The possible effect of clinical recovery on regional cerebral blood flow deficits in fibromyalgia: a prospective study with semiquantitative SPECT. *South Med J* 2004;97(7):651–655. [PubMed: 15301122]
4. Adler GK, Kinsley BT, Hurwitz S, Mossey CJ, Goldenberg DI. Reduced hypothalamic-pituitary and sympathoadrenal responses to hypoglycemia in women with fibromyalgia syndrome. *Am J Med* 1999;106(5):534–543. [PubMed: 10335725]
5. Alfisi S, Sigal M, Landau M. Primary fibromyalgia syndrome - A variant of depressive disorder? *Psychother Psychosom* 1989;51(3):156–161. [PubMed: 2636421]
6. Anderberg, Um; Marteinsdottir, I.; Von Knorring, L. Citalopram in patients with fibromyalgia--a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Eur J Pain* 2000;4(1):27–35. [PubMed: 10833553]
7. Apkarian AV, Bushnell MC, Treede RD, Zubieta JK. Human brain mechanisms of pain perception and regulation in health and disease. *Eur J Pain* 2005;9(4):463–484. [PubMed: 15979027]
8. Arnold LM, Keck PE Jr, Welge JA. Antidepressant treatment of fibromyalgia. A meta-analysis and review. *Psychosomatics* 2000;41(2):104–113. [PubMed: 10749947]
9. Arnold LM, Lu Y, Crofford LJ, Wohlreich M, Detke MJ, Iyengar S, Goldstein DJ. A double-blind, multicenter trial comparing duloxetine with placebo in the treatment of fibromyalgia patients with or without major depressive disorder. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50(9):2974–2984. [PubMed: 15457467]
10. Arnold LM, Hudson JI, Hess EV, Ware AE, Fritz DA, Auchenbach MB, Starck LO, Keck PE Jr. Family study of fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50(3):944–952. [PubMed: 15022338]
11. Arnold LM, Russell LJ, Diri EW, Duan WR, Young JP Jr, Sharma U, Martin SA, Barrett JA, Haig G. A 14-week, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled monotherapy trial of pregabalin in patients with fibromyalgia. *J Pain* 2008;9(9):792–805. [PubMed: 18524684]
12. Arnold LM, Goldenberg DI, Stanford SB, Lalonde JK, Sandhu HS, Keck PE Jr, Welge JA, Bishop F, Stanford KE, Hess EV, Hudson JI. Gabapentin in the treatment of fibromyalgia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56(4):1336–1344. [PubMed: 17393438]
13. Arroyo JF, Cohen MI. Abnormal responses to electrocutaneous stimulation in fibromyalgia. *J Rheumatol* 1993;20(11):1925–1931. [PubMed: 7848390]
14. Bair MJ, Robinson RI, Katon W, Kroenke K. Depression and pain comorbidity: a literature review. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163(20):2433–2445. [PubMed: 14609780]
15. Baraniuk JN, Whalen G, Cunningham J, Clauw DJ. Cerebrospinal fluid levels of opioid peptides in fibromyalgia and chronic low back pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2004;5(1):48. [PubMed: 15588296]
16. Barsky AJ, Borus JF. Functional somatic syndromes. *Ann Intern* 1999;130(11):910–921.
17. Benjamin S, Morris S, McBeth J, Macfarlane GJ, Silman AJ. The association between chronic widespread pain and mental disorder: a population-based study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43(3):561–567. [PubMed: 10728749]
18. Bennett RM. Pharmacological treatment of fibromyalgia. *J Funct Syndromes* 2001;1(1):79–92.

19. Bennett RM, Kamin M, Karim R, Rosenthal N. Tramadol and acetaminophen combination tablets in the treatment of fibromyalgia pain: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Med* 2003;114(7):537–545. [PubMed: 12753877]
20. Bennett RM, Clark SR, Goldberg L, Nelson D, Bonafede RP, Porter J, Specht D. Aerobic fitness in patients with fibrositis. A controlled study of respiratory gas exchange and 133xenon clearance from exercising muscle. *Arthritis Rheum* 1989;32(4):454–460. [PubMed: 2706029]
21. Blumenthal JA, Emery CF, Madden DJ, Schniebolk S, Walsh-Riddle M, George LK, Mckee DC, Higginbotham MB, Cobb FR, Coleman RE. Long-term effects of exercise on psychological functioning in older men and women. *J Gerontol* 1991;46(6):352–361.
22. Bondy B, Spaeth M, Offenbaecher M, Glatzeder K, Stratz T, Schwarz M, De Jonge S, Kruger M, Engel Rr, Farber L, Pongratz De, Ackenheil M. The T102C polymorphism of the 5-HT_{2A}-receptor gene in fibromyalgia. *Neurobiol Dis* 1999;6(5):433–439. [PubMed: 10527809]
23. Bradley LA, Alberts KR, Alarcon GS, Alexander MT, Mountz JM, Wiegant DA, Liu HG, Blalock JE, Aaron LA, Alexander RW, San Pedro EC, Martin MY, Morell AC. Abnormal brain regional cerebral blood flow and cerebrospinal fluid levels of Substance P in patients and non-patients with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39(9S):1109. [PubMed: 8670318]
24. Burckhardt CS, Bjelle A. Perceived control: A comparison of women with fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis, and systemic lupus erythematosus using a Swedish version of the Rheumatology Attitudes Index. *Scand. J. Rheumatol* 1996;25(5):300–306. [PubMed: 8921923]
25. Burton AK, Tillotson KM, Main CJ, Hollis S. Psychosocial predictors of outcome in acute and subchronic low back trouble. *Spine* 1995;20(6):722–728. [PubMed: 7604349]
26. Busch AJ, Thille P, Barber KA, Schachter CI, Bidonde J, Collacott BK. Best practice: E-Model--prescribing physical activity and exercise for individuals with fibromyalgia. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2008;24(3):151–166. [PubMed: 18569853]
27. Buskila D, Sarzi-Puttini P, Ablin Jn. The genetics of fibromyalgia syndrome. *Pharmacogenomics* 2007;8(1):67–74. [PubMed: 17187510]
28. Buskila D, Neumann L, Hazanov I, Carmi R. Familial aggregation in the fibromyalgia syndrome. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1996;26(3):605–611. [PubMed: 8989805]
29. Buskila D, Cohen H, Neumann L, Ebstein Rp. An association between fibromyalgia and the dopamine D4 receptor exon III repeat polymorphism and relationship to novelty seeking personality traits. *Mol Psychiatry* 2004;9(8):730–731. [PubMed: 15052273]
30. Buskila D, Neumann L, Vaisberg G, Alkalay D, Wolfe F. Increased rates of fibromyalgia following cervical spine injury. A controlled study of 161 cases of traumatic injury. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40(3):446–452. [PubMed: 9082932]
31. Capaci K, Hegguler S. Comparison of the effects of amitriptyline and paroxetine in the treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome. *The Pain Clinic* 2002;14(3):223–228.
32. Carville SF, Arendt-Nielsen S, Bliddal H, Blotman F, Branco JC, Buskila D, DA Silva JA, Danneskiold-Samsoe B, Dincer F, Henriksson C, Henriksson KG, Kosek E, Longley K, Mccarthy GM, Perrot S, Puszczewicz M, Sarzi-Puttini P, Silman A, Spath M, Choy EH. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the management of fibromyalgia syndrome. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67(4):536–541. [PubMed: 17644548]
33. Clark SR. Prescribing exercise for fibromyalgia patients. *Arthritis Care Res* 1994;7(4):221–225. [PubMed: 7734481]
34. Clauw DJ. The pathogenesis of chronic pain and fatigue syndromes, with special reference to fibromyalgia. *Med Hypotheses* 1995;44(5):369–378. [PubMed: 8583967]
35. Clauw DJ. Commentary on the EULAR recommendations for the management of fibromyalgia. *Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol* 2008;4(8):392–393. [PubMed: 18560385]
36. Clauw DJ, Chrousos GP. Chronic pain and fatigue syndromes: Overlapping clinical and neuroendocrine features and potential pathogenic mechanisms. *Neuroimmunomodulation* 1997;4(3):134–153. [PubMed: 9500148]
37. Cohen H, Neumann L, Shore M, Amir M, Cassuto Y, Buskila D. Autonomic dysfunction in patients with fibromyalgia: Application of power spectral analysis of heart rate variability. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2000;29(4):217–227. [PubMed: 10707990]

38. Cohen, MI; Quintner, J. Fibromyalgia syndrome, a problem of tautology. *Lancet* 1993;342(8876): 906–909. [PubMed: 8105171]
39. Cook DB, Lange G, Ciccone DS, Liu WC, Steffener J, Natelson BH. Functional imaging of pain in patients with primary fibromyalgia. *J Rheumatol* 2004;31(2):364–378. [PubMed: 14760810]
40. Craig AD. Distribution of trigeminothalamic and spinothalamic lamina I terminations in the cat. *Somatosens Mot Res* 2003;20(34):209–222. [PubMed: 14675960]
41. Craig AD. Human feelings: why are some more aware than others? *Trends Cogn Sci* 2004;8(6):239–241. [PubMed: 15165543]
42. Crofford LJ, Pillemer SR, Kalogeras KT, Cash JM, Michelson D, Kling MA, Sternberg EM, Gold PW, Chrousos GP, Wilder RI. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis perturbations in patients with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37(11):1583–1592. [PubMed: 7980669]
43. Crofford LJ, Rowbotham MC, Mease PJ, Russell IJ, Dworkin RH, Corbin AE, Young JP Jr, Lamoreaux LK, Martin SA, Sharma U. Pregabalin for the treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(4):1264–1273. [PubMed: 15818684]
44. Demitrack MA, Crofford LJ. Evidence for and pathophysiologic implications of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysregulation in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1998;840:684–697. [PubMed: 9629295]
45. Diatchenko L, Nackley AG, Tchivileva IE, Shabalina SA, Maixner W. Genetic architecture of human pain perception. *Trends Genet* 2007;23(12):605–613. [PubMed: 18023497]
46. Diatchenko L, Slade GD, Nackley AG, Bhalang K, Sigurdsson A, Belfer I, Goldman D, Xu K, Shabalina SA, Shagin D, Max MB, Makarov SS, Maixner W. Genetic basis for individual variations in pain perception and the development of a chronic pain condition. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005;14(1): 135–143. [PubMed: 15537663]
47. Drossman DA, Li ZM, Andruzzi E, Temple RD, Talley NJ, Thompson WG, Whitehead WE, Janssens J, Funch-Jensen P, Corazziari E. U.S. householder survey of functional gastrointestinal disorders. Prevalence, sociodemography, and health impact. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38(9):1569–1580. [PubMed: 8359066]
48. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Wyrwich KW, Beaton D, Cleeland CS, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Kerns RD, Ader DN, Brandenburg N, Burke LB, Cella D, Chandler J, Cowan P, Dimitrova R, Dionne R, Hertz S, Jadad AR, Katz NP, Kehlet H, Kramer LD, Manning DC, McCormick C, McDermott MP, McQuay HJ, Patel S, Porter L, Quessy S, Rappaport BA, Rauschkolb C, Revicki DA, Rothman M, Schmader KE, Stacey BR, Stauffer JW, von Stein T, White RE, Witter J, Zavisic S. Interpreting the clinical importance of treatment outcomes in chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. *J Pain* 2008;9(2):105–121. [PubMed: 18055266]
49. Figueroa A, Kingsley JD, Mcmillan V, Panton LB. Resistance exercise training improves heart rate variability in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2008;28(1):49–54. [PubMed: 18005081]
50. Fishbain D. Evidence-based data on pain relief with antidepressants. *Ann Med* 2000;32(5):305–316. [PubMed: 10949061]
51. Fukuda K, Nisenbaum R, Stewart G, Thompson WW, Robin L, Washko RM, Noah DI, Barrett DH, Randall B, Herwaldt BI, Mawle AC, Reeves WC. Chronic multisymptom illness affecting Air Force veterans of the Gulf War. *JAMA* 1998;280(11):981–988. [PubMed: 9749480]
52. Geisser ME, Roth RS. Knowledge of and agreement with chronic pain diagnosis: Relation to affective distress, pain beliefs and coping, pain intensity, and disability. *J Occup Rehabil* 1998;8(1):73–88.
53. Geisser ME, Robinson ME, Keefe FJ, Weiner MI. Catastrophizing, depression and the sensory, affective and evaluative aspects of chronic pain. *Pain* 1994;59(1):79–83. [PubMed: 7854806]
54. Geisser ME, Casey KI, Brucksch CB, Ribbens CM, Appleton BB, Crofford LJ. Perception of noxious and innocuous heat stimulation among healthy women and women with fibromyalgia: association with mood, somatic focus, and catastrophizing. *Pain* 2003;102(3):243–250. [PubMed: 12670665]
55. Geisser ME, Glass JM, Rajcevska LD, Clauw DJ, Williams DA, Kileny PR, Gracely RH. A psychophysical study of auditory and pressure sensitivity in patients with fibromyalgia and healthy controls. *J Pain* 2008;9(5):417–422. [PubMed: 18280211]

56. Gendreau RM, Thorn MD, Gendreau JF, Kranzler JD, Ribeiro S, Gracely RH, Williams DA, Mease PJ, Mclean SA, Clauw DJ. Efficacy of milnacipran in patients with fibromyalgia. *J Rheumatol* 2005;32(10):1975–1985. [PubMed: 16206355]
57. Gerster JC, Hadj-Djilani A. Hearing and vestibular abnormalities in primary fibrositis syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 1984;11(5):678–680. [PubMed: 6595407]
58. Gibson SJ, Littlejohn GO, Gorman MM, Helme RD, Granges G. Altered heat pain thresholds and cerebral event-related potentials following painful CO₂ laser stimulation in subjects with fibromyalgia syndrome. *Pain* 1994;58(2):185–193. [PubMed: 7816486]
59. Giesecke J, Reed BD, Haefner HK, Giesecke T, Clauw DJ, Gracely RH. Quantitative sensory testing in vulvodynia patients and increased peripheral pressure pain sensitivity. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004;104(1):126–133. [PubMed: 15229011]
60. Giesecke T, Gracely RH, Williams DA, Geisser M, Petzke F, Clauw DJ. The relationship between depression, clinical pain, and experimental pain in a chronic pain cohort. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(5):1577–1584. [PubMed: 15880832]
61. Giesecke T, Gracely RH, Grant MA, Nachemson A, Petzke F, Williams DA, Clauw DJ. Evidence of augmented central pain processing in idiopathic chronic low back pain. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50(2):613–623. [PubMed: 14872506]
62. Giesecke T, Williams DA, Harris RE, Cupps Tr, Tian X, Tian TX, Gracely RH, Clauw Dj. Subgrouping of fibromyalgia patients on the basis of pressure-pain thresholds and psychological factors. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48(10):2916–2922. [PubMed: 14558098]
63. Glass JM, Lyden A, Petzke F, Clauw D. The effect of brief exercise cessation on pain, fatigue, and mood symptom development in healthy, fit individuals. *J Psychosom Res* 2004;57(4):391–398. [PubMed: 15518675]
64. Goldenberg, DI; Burckhardt, C.; Crofford, L. Management of fibromyalgia syndrome. *JAMA* 2004;292(19):2388–2395. [PubMed: 15547167]
65. Gracely RH, Petzke F, Wolf JM, Clauw DJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence of augmented pain processing in fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46(5):1333–1343. [PubMed: 12115241]
66. Gracely RH, Geisser ME, Giesecke T, Grant MA, Petzke F, Williams DA, Clauw DJ. Pain catastrophizing and neural responses to pain among persons with fibromyalgia. *Brain* 2004;127(Pt 4):835–843. [PubMed: 14960499]
67. Granges G, Littlejohn G. Pressure pain threshold in pain-free subjects, in patients with chronic regional pain syndromes, and in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993;36(5):642–646. [PubMed: 8489541]
68. Guedj E, Cammilleri S, Colavolpe C, De Laforte C, Niboyet J, Mundler O. Follow-up of pain processing recovery after ketamine in hyperalgesic fibromyalgia patients using brain perfusion ECD-SPECT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2007;34(12):2115–2119. [PubMed: 18278530]
69. Guedj E, Cammilleri S, Colavolpe C, Taieb D, De Laforte C, Niboyet J, Mundler O. Predictive value of brain perfusion SPECT for ketamine response in hyperalgesic fibromyalgia. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2007;34(8):1274–1279. [PubMed: 17431615]
70. Guedj E, Taieb D, Cammilleri S, Lussato D, De Laforte C, Niboyet J, Mundler O. 99mTc-ECD brain perfusion SPECT in hyperalgesic fibromyalgia. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2007;34(1):130–134. [PubMed: 16933135]
71. Guedj E, Cammilleri S, Niboyet J, Dupont P, Vidal E, Dropinski Jp, Mundler O. Clinical correlate of brain SPECT perfusion abnormalities in fibromyalgia. *J Nucl Med* 2008;49(11):1798–1803. [PubMed: 18927329]
72. Gustafsson M, Gaston-Johansson F. Pain intensity and health locus of control: A comparison of patients with fibromyalgia syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. *Patient Educ Couns* 1996;29(2):179–188. [PubMed: 9006234]
73. Hamilton NA, Affleck G, Tennen H, Karlson C, Luxton D, Preacher KJ, Templin JI. Fibromyalgia: the role of sleep in affect and in negative event reactivity and recovery. *Health Psychol* 2008;27(4):490–497. [PubMed: 18643007]
74. Hammett VB. Psychological changes with physical fitness training. *Can Med Assoc J* 1967;96(12):764–769. [PubMed: 6020872]

75. Harris RE, Clauw DJ. How do we know that the pain in fibromyalgia is “real”? *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2006;10(6):403–407. [PubMed: 17087863]
76. Harris RE, Clauw DJ, Scott DJ, Mclean SA, Gracely RH, Zubieta JK. Decreased central mu-opioid receptor availability in fibromyalgia. *J Neurosci* 2007;27(37):10000–10006. [PubMed: 17855614]
77. Hoffman BM, Papas RK, Chatkoff DK, Kerns RD. Meta-analysis of psychological interventions for chronic low back pain. *Health Psychol* 2007;26(1):1–9. [PubMed: 17209691]
78. Holman AJ, Myers RR. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of pramipexole, a dopamine agonist, in patients with fibromyalgia receiving concomitant medications. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(8):2495–2505. [PubMed: 16052595]
79. Hudson JI, Hudson MS, Pliner LF, Goldenberg DI, Pope HG Jr. Fibromyalgia and major affective disorder: a controlled phenomenology and family history study. *Am J Psychiatry* 1985;142(4):441–446. [PubMed: 3856400]
80. Hudson JI, Goldenberg DI, Pope HG Jr, Keck PE Jr, Schlesinger L. Comorbidity of fibromyalgia with medical and psychiatric disorders. *A J Med* 1993;92(4):363–367.
81. Janal MN. Pain sensitivity, exercise and stoicism. *J R Soc Med* 1996;89(7):376–381. [PubMed: 8774534]
82. Jensen MP, Turner JA, Romano JM. Changes after multidisciplinary pain treatment in patient pain beliefs and coping are associated with concurrent changes in patient functioning. *Pain* 2007;131(12):38–47. [PubMed: 17250963]
83. Jones, SI. Descending noradrenergic influences on pain. *Prog Brain Res* 1991:88381–394.
84. Julien N, Goffaux P, Arsenaault L, Marchand S. Widespread pain in fibromyalgia is related to a deficit of endogenous pain inhibition. *Pain* 2005;114(12):295–302. [PubMed: 15733656]
85. Kato K, Sullivan PF, Evengard B, Pedersen NI. Chronic widespread pain and its comorbidities: a population-based study. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166(15):1649–1654. [PubMed: 16908799]
86. Kato K, Sullivan PF, Evengard B, Pedersen NI. Importance of genetic influences on chronic widespread pain. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54(5):1682–1686. [PubMed: 16646040]
87. Kato K, Sullivan PF, Evengard B, Pedersen NI. A population-based twin study of functional somatic syndromes. *Psychol Med* 2009;39(3):497–505. [PubMed: 18578896]
88. Keefe FJ, Brown GK, Wallston KA, Caldwell DS. Coping with rheumatoid arthritis pain: Catastrophizing as a maladaptive strategy. *Pain* 1989;37(1):51–56. [PubMed: 2726278]
89. Khan AA, Khan A, Harezlak J, Tu W, Kroenke K. Somatic symptoms in primary care: etiology and outcome. *Psychosomatics* 2003;44(6):471–478. [PubMed: 14597681]
90. Kosek E, Hansson P. Modulatory influence on somatosensory perception from vibration and heterotopic noxious conditioning stimulation (HNCS) in fibromyalgia patients and healthy subjects. *Pain* 1997;70(1):41–51. [PubMed: 9106808]
91. Kosek E, Ekholm J, Hansson P. Sensory dysfunction in fibromyalgia patients with implications for pathogenic mechanisms. *Pain* 1996;68(23):375–383. [PubMed: 9121827]
92. Kuraishi Y, Hirota N, Satoh M, Takagi H. Antinociceptive effects of intrathecal opioids, noradrenaline and serotonin in rats: mechanical and thermal algescic tests. *Brain Res* 1985;326(1):168–171. [PubMed: 3838258]
93. Kwiatek R, Barnden L, Tedman R, Jarrett R, Chew J, Rowe C, Pile K. Regional cerebral blood flow in fibromyalgia: single-photon-emission computed tomography evidence of reduction in the pontine tegmentum and thalami. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43(12):2823–2833. [PubMed: 11145042]
94. Lautenbacher S, Rollman Gb. Possible deficiencies of pain modulation in fibromyalgia. *Clin J Pain* 1997;13(3):189–196. [PubMed: 9303250]
95. Le Bars D, Villanueva L, Bouhassira D, Willer Jc. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) in animals and in man. *Patol Fiziol Eksp ter* 1992;(4):55–65. [PubMed: 1303506]
96. Leffler, As; Hansson, P.; Kosek, E. Somatosensory perception in a remote pain-free area and function of diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) in patients suffering from long-term trapezius myalgia. *Eur J Pain* 2002;6(2):149–159. [PubMed: 11900475]
97. Maixner W, Fillingim R, Booker D, Sigurdsson A. Sensitivity of patients with painful temporomandibular disorders to experimentally evoked pain. *Pain* 1995;63(3):341–351. [PubMed: 8719535]

98. Martinez-Lavin M, Hermosillo Ag, Rosas M, Soto Me. Circadian studies of autonomic nervous balance in patients with fibromyalgia: A heart rate variability analysis. *Arthrit Rheumat* 1998;41(11): 1966–1971.
99. Mayer EA, Raybould HE. Role of visceral afferent mechanisms in functional bowel disorders. *Gastroenterology* 1990;99(6):1688–1704. [PubMed: 2227282]
100. Mcbeth J, Jones K. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2007;21(3):403–425. [PubMed: 17602991]
101. Mcbeth J, Silman AJ, Gupta A, Chiu Yh, Ray D, Morriss R, Dickens C, King Y, Macfarlane GJ. Moderation of psychosocial risk factors through dysfunction of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal stress axis in the onset of chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain: findings of a population-based prospective cohort study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56(1):360–371. [PubMed: 17195240]
102. McCann, Ii; Holmes, Ds. Influence of aerobic exercise on depression. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1984;46 (5):1142–1147. [PubMed: 6737208]
103. Mccarberg B, Wolf J, Oliver K, Fakhry F, Walen H, Cronan T. The relationship between health locus of control and well-being in fibromyalgia patients. *J Pain* 2002;3(2):14–14.
104. Mcdermid AJ, Rollman GB, Mccain GA. Generalized hypervigilance in fibromyalgia: Evidence of perceptual amplification. *Pain* 1996;66(23):133–144. [PubMed: 8880834]
105. Mcgavin CR, Gupta SP, Lloyd El, Mchardy GJ. Physical rehabilitation for the chronic bronchitic: Results of a controlled trial of exercises in the home. *Thorax* 1977;32(3):307–311. [PubMed: 882944]
106. Mclean SA, Williams DA, Groner KH, Ambrose K, Lyden A, Gracely RH, Crofford LJ, Geisser ME, Biswas P, Clauw DJ. Naturalistic evaluation of cortisol secretion and symptoms in fibromyalgia and healthy controls. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005
107. Mclean SA, Williams DA, Stein PK, Harris RE, Lyden AK, Whalen G, Park Km, Liberzon I, Sen A, Gracely RH, Baraniuk JN, Clauw DJ. Cerebrospinal fluid corticotropin-releasing factor concentration is associated with pain but not fatigue symptoms in patients with fibromyalgia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006;31(12):2776–2782. [PubMed: 16936702]
108. Mease P, Arnold LM, Bennett R, Boonen A, Buskila D, Carville S, Chappell A, Choy E, Clauw D, Dadabhoy D, Gendreau M, Goldenberg D, Littlejohn G, Martin S, Perera P, Russell IJ, Simon L, Spaeth M, Williams D, Crofford L. Fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34(6):1415–1425. [PubMed: 17552068]
109. Mease PJ, Russell IJ, Arnold LM, Florian H, Young JP Jr. Martin SA, Sharma U. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of pregabalin in the treatment of patients with fibromyalgia. *J Rheumatol* 2008;35(3):502–514. [PubMed: 18278830]
110. Mease PJ, Arnold LM, Crofford LJ, Williams DA, Russell IJ, Humphrey L, Abetz L, Martin SA. Identifying the clinical domains of fibromyalgia: contributions from clinician and patient Delphi exercises. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;59(7):952–960. [PubMed: 18576290]
111. Meldrum, MI. A capsule history of pain management. *JAMA* 2003;290(18):2470–2475. [PubMed: 14612484]
112. Minor MA, Hewett JE, Webel RR, Anderson SK, Kay DR. Efficacy of physical conditioning exercise in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1989;32(11):1396–1405. [PubMed: 2818656]
113. Moldofsky H, Scarisbrick P, England R, Smythe H. Musculoskeletal symptoms and non-REM sleep disturbance in patients with “fibrositis syndrome” and healthy subjects. *Psychosom Med* 1975;37 (4):341–351. [PubMed: 169541]
114. Morley S, Eccleston C, Williams A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of cognitive behaviour therapy and behaviour therapy for chronic pain in adults, excluding headache. *Pain* 1999;80(12):1–13. [PubMed: 10204712]
115. Moshiree B, Price DD, Robinson ME, Gaible R, Verne GN. Thermal and visceral hypersensitivity in irritable bowel syndrome patients with and without fibromyalgia. *Clin J Pain* 2007;23(4):323–330. [PubMed: 17449993]
116. Mountz JM, Bradley LA, Modell JG, Alexander RW, Triana-Alexander M, Aaron LA, Stewart KE, Alarcon GS, Mountz JD. Fibromyalgia in women. Abnormalities of regional cerebral blood flow

in the thalamus and the caudate nucleus are associated with low pain threshold levels. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38(7):926–938. [PubMed: 7612042]

117. Naliboff BD, Derbyshire SW, Munakata J, Berman S, Mandelkern M, Chang L, Mayer EA. Cerebral activation in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and control subjects during rectosigmoid stimulation. *Psychosom Med* 2001;63(3):365–375. [PubMed: 11382264]
118. Norregaard J, Volkmann H, Danneskiold-Samsøe B. A randomized controlled trial of citalopram in the treatment of fibromyalgia. *Pain* 1995;61(3):445–449. [PubMed: 7478688]
119. O'malley PG, Balden E, Tomkins G, Santoro J, Kroenke K, Jackson JI. Treatment of fibromyalgia with antidepressants. A meta-analysis. *J Gen Intern Med* 2000;15(9):659–666. [PubMed: 11029681]
120. Offenbaecher M, Bondy B, De Jonge S, Glatzeder K, Kruger M, Schoeps P, Ackenheil M. Possible association of fibromyalgia with a polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene regulatory region. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42(11):2482–2488. [PubMed: 10555044]
121. Pastor MA, Salas E, Lopez S, Rodriguez J, Sanchez S, Pascual E. Patients' beliefs about their lack of pain control in primary fibromyalgia syndrome. *B J Rheumatol* 1993;32(6):484–489.
122. Petzke F, Gracely RH, Park KM, Ambrose K, Clauw DJ. What do tender points measure? Influence of distress on 4 measures of tenderness. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30(3):567–574. [PubMed: 12610818]
123. Petzke F, Clauw DJ, Ambrose K, Khine A, Gracely RH. Increased pain sensitivity in fibromyalgia: effects of stimulus type and mode of presentation. *Pain* 2003;105(3):403–413. [PubMed: 14527701]
124. Petzke F, Harris RE, Williams DA, Clauw DJ, Gracely RH. Differences in unpleasantness induced by experimental pressure between patients with fibromyalgia and controls. *Eur J Pain* 2005;9:325–335.
125. Petzke F, Khine A, Williams D, Groner K, Clauw DJ, Gracely RH. Dolorimetry performed at 3 paired tender points highly predicts overall tenderness. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28(11):2568–2569. [PubMed: 11708444]
126. Price DD, Staud R, Robinson ME, Mauderli AP, Cannon R, Vierck CJ. Enhanced temporal summation of second pain and its central modulation in fibromyalgia patients. *Pain* 2002;99(12):49–59. [PubMed: 12237183]
127. Qiao ZG, Vaeroy H, Morkrid L. Electrodermal and microcirculatory activity in patients with fibromyalgia during baseline, acoustic stimulation and cold pressor tests. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18(9):1383–1389. [PubMed: 1757941]
128. Raphael KG, Natelson BH, Janal MN, Nayak S. A community-based survey of fibromyalgia-like pain complaints following the World Trade Center terrorist attacks. *Pain* 2002;100(12):131–139. [PubMed: 12435466]
129. Ren K, Dubner R. NMDA receptor antagonists attenuate mechanical hyperalgesia in rats with unilateral inflammation of the hindpaw. *Neurosci Lett* 1993;163(1):22–26. [PubMed: 7905196]
130. Rossy LA, Buckelew SP, Dorr N, Hagglund KJ, Thayer JF, McIntosh MJ, Hewett JE, Johnson JC. A meta-analysis of fibromyalgia treatment interventions. *Ann Behav Med* 1999;21(2):180–191. [PubMed: 10499139]
131. Russell IJ, Vaeroy H, Javors M, Nyberg F. Cerebrospinal fluid biogenic amine metabolites in fibromyalgia/fibrositis syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1992;35(5):550–556. [PubMed: 1374252]
132. Russell IJ, Michalek JE, Ziao Y, Haynes W, Vertiz R, Lawrence RA. Therapy with a central alpha 2-adrenergic agonist (tizanidine) decreases cerebrospinal fluid substance P, and may reduce serum hyaluronic acid as it improves the clinical symptoms of the fibromyalgia syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46(9):S614.
133. Russell IJ, Orr MD, Littman B, Vipraio GA, Alboukrek D, Michalek JE, Lopez Y, Mackillip F. Elevated cerebrospinal fluid levels of substance P in patients with the fibromyalgia syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37(11):1593–1601. [PubMed: 7526868]
134. Sapolsky RM. Why stress is bad for your brain. *Science* 1996;273(5276):749–750. [PubMed: 8701325]
135. Sarchielli P, Di Filippo M, Nardi K, Calabresi P. Sensitization, glutamate, and the link between migraine and fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2007;11(5):343–351. [PubMed: 17894924]

136. Scharf MB, Baumann M, Berkowitz DV. The effects of sodium oxybate on clinical symptoms and sleep patterns in patients with fibromyalgia. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30(5):1070–1074. [PubMed: 12734908]
137. Sjoström S, Tamsen A, Hartvig P, Folkesson R, Terenius L. Cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of substance P and (met)enkephalin-Arg6-Phe7 during surgery and patient-controlled analgesia. *Anesth Analg* 1988;67(10):976–981. [PubMed: 2458686]
138. Smythe HA, Moldofsky H. Two contributions to understanding of the “fibrositis” syndrome. *Bulletin on the Rheumatic Diseases* 1977;28(1):928–931. [PubMed: 199304]
139. Sonstroem RJ. Exercise and self-esteem. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 1984;21(3):329–337.
140. Staud R, Vierck CJ, Cannon RI, Mauderli AP, Price DD. Abnormal sensitization and temporal summation of second pain (wind-up) in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. *Pain* 2001;91(12):165–175. [PubMed: 11240089]
141. Staud R, Carl KE, Vierck CJ, Price DD, Robinson ME, Cannon RI, Mauderli AP. Repetitive muscle stimuli result in enhanced wind-up of fibromyalgia patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44(9):S395–S395.
142. Stein PK, Domitrovich PP, Ambrose K, Lyden A, Fine M, Gracely RH, Clauw DJ. Sex effects on heart rate variability in fibromyalgia and Gulf War illness. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;51(5):700–708. [PubMed: 15478168]
143. Stroud MW, Thorn BE, Jensen MP, Boothby JI. The relation between pain beliefs, negative thoughts, and psychosocial functioning in chronic pain patients. *Pain* 2000;84(23):347–352. [PubMed: 10666540]
144. Sullivan MJ, Stanish W, Waite H, Sullivan M, Tripp Da. Catastrophizing, pain, and disability in patients with soft-tissue injuries. *Pain* 1998;77(3):253–260. [PubMed: 9808350]
145. Tracey I. Imaging pain. *Br J Anaesth* 2008;101(1):32–39. [PubMed: 18556697]
146. Tracey I, Mantyh PW. The cerebral signature for pain perception and its modulation. *Neuron* 2007;55(3):377–391. [PubMed: 17678852]
147. Tugwell P, Boers M, Brooks P, Simon L, Strand V, Idzerda L. OMERACT: An international initiative to improve outcome measurement in rheumatology. *Trials* 2007;8(38)
148. Turk DC. The Potential of Treatment Matching for Subgroups of Patients With Chronic Pain:Lumping Versus Splitting. *Clin J Pain* 2005;21(1):44–55. [PubMed: 15599131]
149. Turk DC, Dworkin RH, Reviski D, Harding G, Burke LB, Cella D, Cleeland CS, Cowan P, Farrar JT, Hertz S, Max MB, Rappaport Ba. Identifying important outcome domains for chronic pain clinical trials: an IMMPACT survey of people with pain. *Pain* 2008;137(2):276–285. [PubMed: 17937976]
150. Turner JA, Jensen MP, Romano JM. Do beliefs, coping, and catastrophizing independently predict functioning in patients with chronic pain? *Pain* 2000;85(12):115–125. [PubMed: 10692610]
151. Vaeroy H, Helle R, Forre O, Kass E, Terenius L. Elevated CSF levels of substance P and high incidence of Raynaud phenomenon in patients with fibromyalgia: new features for diagnosis. *Pain* 1988;32(1):21–26. [PubMed: 2448729]
152. Vitton O, Gendreau M, Gendreau J, Kranzler J, Rao SG. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial of milnacipran in the treatment of fibromyalgia. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2004;19(Suppl 1):S27–S35. [PubMed: 15378666]
153. Welin M, Bragee B, Nyberg F, Kristiansson M. Elevated Substance P levels are contrasted by a decrease in met-enkephalin-arg-phe levels in CSF from fibromyalgia patients. *Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain* 1995;3(1):4.
154. Wessely S, Chalder T, Hirsch S, Wallace P, Wright D. Psychological symptoms, somatic symptoms, and psychiatric disorder in chronic fatigue and chronic fatigue syndrome: A prospective study in the primary care setting. *Am J Psychiatry* 1996;153(8):1050–1059. [PubMed: 8678174]
155. White KP, Nielson WR, Harth M, Ostbye T, Speechley M. Does the label “fibromyalgia” alter health status, function, and health service utilization? A prospective, within-group comparison in a community cohort of adults with chronic widespread pain. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;47(3):260–265. [PubMed: 12115155]
156. Wiffen P, Collins S, Mcquay H, Carroll D, Jadad A, Moore A. Anticonvulsant drugs for acute and chronic pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;(3):CD001133.

157. Wigers SH, Stiles TC, Vogel PA. Effects of aerobic exercise versus stress management treatment in fibromyalgia. A 4.5 year prospective study. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1996;25(2):77–86. [PubMed: 8614771]
158. Williams DA. Psychological and behavioral therapies in fibromyagia and related syndromes. *Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2003;17(4):649–665.
159. Williams DA, Keefe FJ. Pain beliefs and the use of cognitive-behavioral coping strategies. *Pain* 1991;46(2):185–190. [PubMed: 1749641]
160. Williams DA, Robinson ME, Geisser ME. Pain beliefs: Assessment and utility. *Pain* 1994;59(1):71–78. [PubMed: 7854805]
161. Williams DA, Brown SC, Clauw DJ, Gendreau RM. Self-reported symptoms before and after September 11 in patients with fibromyalgia. *JAMA* 2003;289(13):1637–1638. [PubMed: 12672730]
162. Williams DA, Cary MA, Groner KH, Chaplin W, Glazer LJ, Rodriguez AM, Clauw DJ. Improving physical functional status in patients with fibromyalgia: a brief cognitive behavioral intervention. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29(6):1280–1286. [PubMed: 12064847]
163. Willis, WD. Central plastic responses to pain. In: Gebhart, GF.; Hammond, DL.; Jensen, TS., editors. *Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Pain*; Seattle: International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP); 1994. p. 301-324.
164. Wolfe F. The relation between tender points and fibromyalgia symptom variables: evidence that fibromyalgia is not a discrete disorder in the clinic. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1997;56(4):268–271. [PubMed: 9166001]
165. Wolfe F, Ross K, Anderson J, Russell IJ. Aspects of fibromyalgia in the general population: Sex, pain threshold, and fibromyalgia symptoms. *J Rheumatol* 1995;22(1):151–156. [PubMed: 7699662]
166. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, Bennett RM, Bombardier C, Goldenberg DI, Tugwell P, Campbell SM, Abeles M, Clark P. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33(2):160–172. [PubMed: 2306288]
167. Wood PB, Patterson JC II, Sunderland JJ, Tainter KH, Glabus MF, Lilien DI. Reduced Presynaptic Dopamine Activity in Fibromyalgia Syndrome Demonstrated With Positron Emission Tomography: A Pilot Study. *J Pain*. 2006
168. Wood PB, Schweinhardt P, Jaeger E, Dagher A, Hakyemez H, Rabiner EA, Bushnell MC, Chizh BA. Fibromyalgia patients show an abnormal dopamine response to pain. *Eur J Neurosci* 2007;25(12):3576–3582. [PubMed: 17610577]
169. Woolf CJ. Windup and central sensitization are not equivalent. *Pain* 1996;(66)(23):105–108. [PubMed: 8880830]
170. Woolf CJ. Pain: moving from symptom control toward mechanism-specific pharmacologic management. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;140(6):441–451. [PubMed: 15023710]
171. Woolf CJ, Thompson SW. The induction and maintenance of central sensitization is dependent on N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor activation; implications for the treatment of post-injury pain hypersensitivity states. *Pain* 1991;44(3):293–299. [PubMed: 1828878]
172. World Health Organization. *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health*. Geneva: 2001.
173. Xu XJ, Dalsgaard CJ, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z. Spinal substance P and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors are coactivated in the induction of central sensitization of the nociceptive flexor reflex. *Neuroscience* 1992;51(3):641–648. [PubMed: 1283208]
174. Yunus M, Masi AT, Calabro JJ, Miller KA, Feigenbaum SI. Primary fibromyalgia (fibrositis): Clinical study of 50 patients with matched normal controls. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1981;11(1):151–171. [PubMed: 6944796]
175. Yunus MB. Central sensitivity syndromes: a new paradigm and group nosology for fibromyalgia and overlapping conditions, and the related issue of disease versus illness. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2008;37(6):339–352. [PubMed: 18191990]
176. Yunus MB, Young CS, Saeed AS, Aldag JC. Positron Emission Tomography (PET) imaging of the brain in fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS). *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40(95):S188.

177. Yunus MB, Dailey JW, Aldag JC, Masi AT, Jobe PC. Plasma tryptophan and other amino acids in primary fibromyalgia: A controlled study. *J Rheumatol* 1992;19(1):90–94. [PubMed: 1556707]